

Message

---

**From:** Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov [Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 12/13/2010 7:40:45 PM  
**To:** Sussman.Bob@epamail.epa.gov; Feldt.Lisa@epamail.epa.gov; McCabe.Catherine@epamail.epa.gov; Enck.Judith@epamail.epa.gov; Pavlou.George@epa.gov; schaaf.eric@epa.gov; simon.paul@epa.gov; Garbarini.Doug@epamail.epa.gov  
**BCC:** Mugdan.Walter@epamail.epa.gov  
**Subject:** Hudson Update

Ann Klee called me this morning, and I just returned the call and spoke with her. She asked whether we had made a final decision; I said we had not. She then engaged in another discussion about  $X = 12$ ,  $X = 11$  or  $X = 10$ . She continued to argue for  $X = 12$ .

While the conversation was entirely professional and in fact friendly, she did speak in the terms and the tone of one who thought she was in a negotiation, and who feels that she has moved much further than her negotiating partner.

I will be speaking with GE's technical folks in a few minutes. The purposes are (1) to try again to understand how GE came up with the new numbers it suggested be inserted into the chart; and (2) to understand the basis for GE's (now public) assertion that its proposal represents removal of 97% of the PCB mass.

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**